The cut size determination on the basis of proposed matrix model of classification process in a centrifugal air flow classifier with a fluidized bed is presented using matrix model. The presented methodology of cut size determination is based on the precise measurement of the total mass of fed material and coarse product in experimental tests. Knowledge of the feed particle size distribution is also required. Considered classifier is a part of the fluidized bed jet mill. The presented cut size determination will allow to optimize mill work and prediction of particle size distribution of the classification products.
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W artykule przedstawiono metodykę wyznaczania rozmiaru ziarna granicznego procesu klasyfikacji w ośrodłowym klasyfikatorze przepływowym z warstwą fluidalną przy wykorzystaniu modelu macierzowego. Przedstawiona metodyka oparta jest na dokładnym wyznaczeniu masy nadawy i gruboziarnistego produktu klasyfikacji na podstawie badań eksperymentalnych. Wymagana jest także znajomość składu ziarnowego nadawy. Rozpatrywany klasyfikator stanowi część fluidalnego młyna strumieniowego. Wyznaczenie rozmiaru ziarna granicznego umożliwia przeprowadzenie optymalizacji pracy młyna i prognozowanie składu ziarnowego produktów klasyfikacji.
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1. Introduction

The air classifiers are commonly used in mineral powder processing industries, where a large volume of mixed materials with differing physical characteristics should be sorted quickly and efficiently. The process of air classification is also of critical importance for many grinding operations. Developments within powder processing have led to demands for increasingly finer particles and narrower particle size distributions.

Classification process is common characterized by a Tromp curve (Tromp, 1937). This curve is also called in a different way: partition curve (King, 2001; Wills & Napier-Munn, 2006), distribution curve (Mohanta & Mishra, 2009), classification curve (Eswaraiah et al., 2012), classification efficiency (Johansson & Evertsson, 2012), classification efficiency curve (Schmidt & Werther, 2006), partial classification efficiency curve (Morimoto & Shakouchi, 2003), partial classification efficiency (Huang et al., 2012), grade efficiency curve (Rumpf, 1990; Bauder et al., 2004; Shapiro & Galperin, 2005) and grade efficiency function (Lanzerstorfer 2011).

From mathematical point of view the Tromp curve is a monotonic function and determines the probability that feed fraction with a defined property will enter one of the products (Pyka & Wierzchowski, 2012). There are also some other definitions of this curve:

- Tromp curve shows the mass fraction of material of a specific size in feed to the classifier that reports to the coarse product (Schmidt & Werther, 2006; Eswaraiah et al., 2012),
- Tromp curve determines what part of the classified material with defined size will enter the coarse product (Mohanta & Mishra, 2009),
- Tromp curve shows the chance of a particle to be found in the coarse fraction in relation to its particle size (Dijkink et al., 2007).

There are a few methods to determine this curve. The Tromp curve frequently is calculated on the basis of the experimental data (Leschonski, 1984; Allen, 1997; Wills & Napier-Munn, 2006). Dijkink et al. (2007) investigated relation between the dispersability in air of protein starch mixtures and the results of air classification. These mixtures, which are used in the food industry, were classified with a rotor air classifier. After classification the mass and the particle size distribution (PSD) of the coarse and fine products were determined. Using these results Tromp curves were constructed. A strong relation was found between dispersive index of protein/starch mixtures and their performance upon air classification. A number of experiments were carried out in a circulating air classifier to study the mechanism of particle separation and fish-hook effect of the Tromp curve (Eswaraiah et al., 2012). Tromp curve was calculated using mass flow rate and cumulative size distribution of the coarse and fine fractions. Johansson and Evertsson (2012a) reported a simulation study on gravitational air classifier using computer fluid dynamics (CFD). The Tromp curve was obtained on the basis of experimentally determined: feed and coarse mass and PSD of feed and coarse product. The influence of the parameters controlling the flow field on the behavior and efficiency of the classification process were simulated using the CFD technique. The influence of the design and the geometrical parameters of the gravitational classifier on the flow field and air classification performance were also simulated and evaluated.

Tromp curve can be also described by approximation functions which were introduced by Molerus (1966, 1967) and Austin and Klimpel (1981). The exponential Tromp curve and particle agglomeration were used to explain the fish-hook effect in air horizontal turbine classification (Wang et al. 1999). Heavy metals concentrations in fly ash from a biomass incineration plant are
investigated by Lanzerstorfer (2011) where the Tromp curve of the used flow air classifier was modeled by a Plitt function (Plitt, 1971) based on experimental data. Plitt function was also used in stochastic model of gravity/centrifugal separator (Venkoba Rao et al., 2003; Venkoba Rao, 2005).

Some useful parameters can be estimated from the Tromp curve (Allen, 1997; Wills & Napier-Munn, 2006): cut size of the classified particles; sharpness index (Eder-Mayer’s index), which is related to the slope of the Tromp curve; probable error (Ecart probable), which reflects the classification precision; imperfection, which relates to the shape of the Tromp curve. The cut size is the most important parameter. Particles of this size have an equal chance of being in coarse or fine product. The cut size can be determined from the Tromp curve as particle size where the ordinate is equal to 0.5. This method was often used by many authors: Wang et al. (1999) for horizontal turbine classifier, Dijkink et al. (2007) for rotor air classifier. The cut size can be also evaluated from approximation relationship. Huang et al. (2012) the cut size of the turbo air classifier calculated from the formula, in which tangential and radial velocities must be known. These velocities distributions are found using numerical simulations in the annular region of the classifier. The same formula was used by: Morimoto and Shakouchi (2003) for ultra fine pneumatic classifier research, Bauder et al. (2004) to investigate the separation mechanism in deflector wheel classifier, Feng et al. (2008) for the experimental study of the classification process in a turbo air classifier. The similar formula was used by Palaniandy and Azizli (2009). They were investigated mechanochemical effect in the ground product. The fine grinding testwork was carried out with fluidized bed jet mill equipped with vortex air classifier.

In this paper the modified experimental method for cut size determination on the basis of proposed matrix model of classification process is presented. Matrix models are commonly used in the mechanical processing of mineral resources, especially in the modeling of the comminution process. The balance model of the ring-roller mill’s table (Bozhenko et al., 2011) is an interesting example of these models.

2. Modelling the process in a centrifugal classifier with a fluidized bed

Particle distribution is the result of the interaction between two degrees of classification in centrifugal air flow classifier with a fluidized bed (Fig. 1). In this device, fed material is put into the classifier column through port 2 while classifying air is supplied by four nozzles 3. Three nozzles are placed uniformly on the column circuit and the fourth on the column axis. A fluidization layer is formed in the lower part of classifier and due to this, lifting of granular material takes place. At first, the granular material goes through gravitational stage I in the middle part of the classifier column and then to centrifugal stage II enclosing region of rotor work 4. Coarse particles stay in the gravitational classification zone while fine particles 5 leave the classification zone together with air and they are directed to the separation units (cyclone and filter). The aim of the proposed classification model is to describe a process encompassing both stages.

The proposed mathematical model of classification covers the general case of a multistage classifier presented in Fig. 2 (Zhukov et al., 2009; Otwinowski et al., 2011). A single i-th stage is separated from a classifier with n stages. Classification is characterised by Tromp’s curve $C(x)$. It is a random function whose values determine the probability of finding particles of the elementary class fed material with sizes $(x, x + dx)$ in the coarse product. If one considers the granular
Fig. 1. Schematic of centrifugal air flow classifier with a fluidized bed
1 – fluidized bed, 2 – feed inlet, 3 – air inlet, 4 – rotor, 5 – fine product of classification,
I – gravitational stage of classifier, II – centrifugal stage of classifier

Fig. 2. Schematic of multistage classifier

\[ C_1 \cdot C_2 = C_\Sigma \]

\[ \sum_{k} C_{ik} F_k + F_{0i} \]
material divided into size classes, the Tromp curve has a discrete form and can be described by
the diagonal matrix $C$, the so-called classification matrix:

$$
C = \begin{bmatrix}
c_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & c_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & c_3 & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & c_m
\end{bmatrix}
$$

(1)

where $m$ – a number of the considered size classes.

Every element $c_j$ of the classification matrix ($j = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, m$) determines the probability of
finding particles of $j$-th class in the coarse product, which corresponds to the mass fraction of
this part of $j$-th size class which passed into the coarse product of classification.

In the considered multistage classifier (Fig. 2), it is assumed that the mass flux of the granular
material with a particle size distribution determined by matrix $F_1$ was supplied to the first stage
of the classifier. Matrix $F_1$ is a mono-column matrix of $m \times 1$ size

$$
F_1 = \begin{bmatrix}
f_1 \\
f_2 \\
\vdots \\
f_m
\end{bmatrix}
$$

(2)

The Tromp curve is presented by diagonal matrix $C_{i1}$. The mass flux directed towards the
$i$-th stage is described by this curve. Therefore, the mass flux of the classification product of
the first stage with a particle size distribution $C_{i1} F_1$ goes into the $i$-th classifier. In the general
case it is assumed that into the $i$-th classifier stage the following can be inserted: classification
products from the all remaining stages $\sum_k C_{ik} F_k$ (where $k = 1, 2, \ldots, n$), taking into account the
considered stage, and a mass flux of particle size distribution $F_{0i}$ originated from an external
source. The relationship for the particle size distribution on entrance into the $i$-th stage of the
classifier is received from the mass balance of mixing node before the $i$-th stage (Fig. 2). This
relationship is given in the form:

$$
F_i = \sum_k C_{ik} F_k + F_{0i}
$$

(3)

For $i = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, n$ ($n$-the number of classifier stages), the following system of equations
is obtained:

$$
\begin{align*}
F_1 &= C_{11} \cdot F_1 + C_{12} \cdot F_2 + C_{13} \cdot F_3 + \ldots + C_{1n} \cdot F_n + F_{01} \\
F_2 &= C_{21} \cdot F_1 + C_{22} \cdot F_2 + C_{23} \cdot F_3 + \ldots + C_{2n} \cdot F_n + F_{02} \\
F_3 &= C_{31} \cdot F_1 + C_{32} \cdot F_2 + C_{33} \cdot F_3 + \ldots + C_{3n} \cdot F_n + F_{03} \\
&\vdots \\
F_n &= C_{n1} \cdot F_1 + C_{n2} \cdot F_2 + C_{n3} \cdot F_3 + \ldots + C_{nn} \cdot F_n + F_{0n}
\end{align*}
$$

(4)
or after transformation:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
(C_{11} - 1) \cdot F_1 + C_{12} \cdot F_2 + C_{13} \cdot F_3 + \ldots + C_{1n} \cdot F_n = -F_{01} \\
C_{21} \cdot F_1 + (C_{22} - 1) \cdot F_2 + C_{23} \cdot F_3 + \ldots + C_{2n} \cdot F_n = -F_{02} \\
C_{31} \cdot F_1 + C_{32} \cdot F_2 + (C_{33} - 1) \cdot F_3 + \ldots + C_{3n} \cdot F_n = -F_{03} \\
\ldots \\
C_{n1} \cdot F_1 + C_{n2} \cdot F_2 + C_{n3} \cdot F_3 + \ldots + (C_{nn} - 1) \cdot F_n = -F_{0n}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

(5)

In equation (5) matrix I is a diagonal unit matrix. System of equations (5) in matrix notation takes the following form:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
(C_{11} - 1) & C_{12} & C_{13} & \ldots & C_{1n} \\
C_{21} & (C_{22} - 1) & C_{23} & \ldots & C_{2n} \\
C_{31} & C_{32} & (C_{33} - 1) & \ldots & C_{3n} \\
\ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\
C_{n1} & C_{n2} & C_{n3} & \ldots & (C_{nn} - 1)
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
F_1 \\
F_2 \\
F_3 \\
\ldots \\
F_n
\end{bmatrix}
= 
\begin{bmatrix}
-F_{01} \\
-F_{02} \\
-F_{03} \\
\ldots \\
-F_{0n}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

(6)

that is

\[
TF = -F_0
\]

(7)

where: T – square block matrix of the size of \(n \times n\) matrixes (\(mn \times mn\) elements) describing the structure of the multistage classifier, \(F\) – mono-column block matrix (block column vector) of \(n \times 1\) size, describing the particle size distribution of granular material fed into individual stages of the classifier without considering the mass flux from external sources, \(F_0\) – mono-column block matrix of \(n \times 1\) size expressing the particle size distribution of granular material fed into the multi-stage classifier from external sources.

The following methodology was worked out to create square block matrix \(T\) for optional \(n\)-stage classifier:

1. Every stage of the classifier has an assigned number \(i \in (1, n)\).
2. For \(n\)-stage square block matrix \(T\) of the size \(n \times n\) blocks (matrixes) is formed, that is of the size of \(mn \times mn\) elements.
3. The \(i\)-th stage of the classifier corresponds to the \(i\)-th in the block matrix \(T\).
4. Every column of matrix \(T\) corresponds to individual components of the technological process.
5. On the main diagonal of matrix \(T\) there are blocks in the form of difference between matrix of material recirculation \(C_{ii}\) and the diagonal unit matrix \(I\).
6. Recirculation matrix \(C_{ii}\) describes the case where the given point leaves the \(i\)-th stage of the classifier and comes back into it. If the product of the \(i\)-th stage of the classifier does not come back into it, then matrix \(C_{ii} = 0\).
7. If the considered \(k\)-th block is a stage of the multi-product classifier, then classification matrix \(C_{ik}\) is in the \(i\)-th line. This matrix describes the Tromp curve of the product fed from the \(k\)-th into \(i\)-th stage. If the considered \(k\)-th block is a stage of the double-product
classifier (fine and coarse), then classification matrix $C_k$ is placed in the line corresponding to the stage of the classifier where the fine product is fed while matrix $(I - C_k)$ – in the line corresponding to the stage of the classifier where the coarse product is fed.

In matrix equation (7) vectors of the particle size distribution $F_{0i}$ are placed in the lines of the block matrix $F_0$ corresponding to the number of classifier stages where the jets of material from external sources are fed. If material from external sources are not fed, then in the $i$-th line of matrix $F_0$ zero column vector $0$ occurs.

The tested centrifugal flow classifier with fluidized bed is a two-stage classifier. The stages of classification are connected in series (Fig. 1). According to the methodology presented above matrix $T$ for this system takes the following form:

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} -I & 0 \\ C_1 & -I \end{bmatrix}$$

(8)

The particle size distribution $F$ of material fed into separate stages of classifier can be calculated after transformation of equation (7):

$$F = -T^{-1}F_0$$

(9)

where $T^{-1}$ – an inverse matrix to matrix $T$:

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} -I & 0 \\ -C_1 & -I \end{bmatrix}$$

(10)

The solution to equation (9) leads to matrixes of the particle size distribution of materials fed into the separate stages of the classifier:

$$F_1 = F_0$$

(11)

$$F_2 = C_1F_0$$

(12)

The particle size distribution $F_2$ of the material fed into the second stage should be multiplied by the classification matrix $C_2$ to obtain the particle size distribution $F_{3\Sigma}$ of fine product. The fine product is a result of interaction between the two classification stages:

$$F_{3\Sigma} = C_2F_2 = C_1C_2F_0$$

(13)

The particle size distribution $F_{2\Sigma}$ of the coarse product of the whole the classifier is the sum of the particle size distributions of the coarse products of the two stages:

$$F_{2\Sigma} = (I - C_1)F_1 + (I - C_2)F_2$$

(14)

After appropriate transformations and after considering equations (11) and (12) one can obtain:

$$F_{2\Sigma} = (I - C_1C_2)F_0$$

(15)
Molerus’ equation (Molerus, 1966, 1967) was applied to determine elements of the matrix \( C_1 \) of the gravitational stage and matrix \( C_2 \) of the centrifugal stage. This equation is commonly used in flow classifiers. According to Molerus’ equation, the classification matrix elements of the gravitational stage are described by the following relationship:

\[
    c_{ij} = \frac{1}{1 + \exp\left(-0.5S_1 \left(1 - \frac{x_j}{x_{c1}}\right)\right)}
\]

while classification matrix elements of the centrifugal stage are determined from the equation:

\[
    c_{2ij} = \frac{1}{1 + \exp\left(-0.5S_2 \left(1 - \frac{x_j}{x_{c2}}\right)\right)}
\]

where: \( x_j \) – mean size of the \( j \)-th class particles, \( x_{c1}, x_{c2} \) – cut sizes of I and II stage of the classifier, \( S_1, S_2 \) – parameters of distribution effectiveness (Molerus parameters) of I and II stage of the classifier.

The parameter of distribution effectiveness depends on the construction and work conditions of the classifier. It is determined on the basis of experimental data and its value is usually included in the range 0-10 for the processes of high efficiency \( S = 10 \) (Heinrich et al., 2003, Mizonov et al. 2005).

Equations (13) and (15) make it possible to calculate the particle size distribution of the coarse products in the optional two-stage classifier. Determination of the cut size for I and II classification stage is required to carry out calculations. The method for cut size determination is presented in the chapter 4.

3. Experimental research into the two-stage classification

Experimental research into the two-stage classification was carried out in a centrifugal air classifier with a fluidized bed (Kaniowski, 2012). The tested classifier consists of a fluid part with a chamber of conical – cylindrical shape and of a centrifugal part with a square cross-section and with a built-in horizontal rotor. The first part accounts for the gravitational stage of the classifier, while the second – the centrifugal stage. A fountain fluidized bed is created in the conical – cylindrical sets. A fluidizing air stream flows through the central part of the set and captures particles of solid material. These particles are lifted up and then part of them (the coarse particles) fall down along the chamber walls and return to the fluidized bed. The remaining particles (fines) pass into the centrifugal stage. The bladed rotor with a horizontal rotation axis is a basic element of the centrifugal stage. In the tested classifier, material distribution is achieved in two-stages with two main forces affecting the particle due to the construction specification. These forces are: the gravity force in the gravitational stage and the centrifugal force in the centrifugal stage.
3.1. Selection and characteristic of the tested material

In the centrifugal air classifier, accurate experiments on the particle distribution required proper selection and preparation of the tested material. Quartz sand was the starting material for the preparation of feed samples with an adequate particle size distribution. This material is characterised by the abrasion resistance, the shape of the sand particles is closed to a ball and its density is equal to 2638 kg/m³ and corresponds to materials which are the most often applied in the processing industry of mineral raw materials. Low transient humidity is also an important feature. The tested material was sieved with the use of a mechanical vibratory shaker with rectangular screens. In this way the samples of quartz sand with a dominant mass participation of particles of sizes in a range 63-100 μm were obtained.

3.2. Experimental stand

A scheme of the experimental stand is presented in Fig. 3, and a photograph in Fig. 4. The experimental stand consists of three basic systems:

– the systems: of the distribution of the granular material and of the collection of the classification products (the centrifugal flow classifier with fluidized bed, cyclone, vacuum cleaner with a cloth filter),

![Fig. 3. Scheme of the experimental stand](image)

1 – centrifugal flow classifier with fluidized bed, 2 – upper part of classifier chamber with rotor, 3 – feed inlet, 4 – cylindrical part of classifier chamber, 5 – conical part of classifier chamber, 6 – piston compressor with expansion tank, 7 – pressure reducing valve, 8 – manometer, 9 – vacuum cleaner, 10 – electric motor, 11 – cyclone, 12 – air oil separator, 13 – compressed air dryer, 14 – flowmeter, \( m_1 \) – feed mass, \( m_2 \) – coarse product mass, \( m_3 \) – fine product mass from cyclone, \( m_f \) – fine product mass from filter, \( \dot{m}_p \) – air mass flux
– filling system of the classifier with the fed material (container of feed, supplying port),
– feed system of the classifier with air (piston compressor, system of purifying air, pressure reducing valves, distribution collector, gauges).

The dimensions of the fundamental elements of the stand are as follows:
– the external diameter of the chamber of the gravitational classifier – 150 mm,
– height of the chamber of the gravitational classifier – 350 mm,
– width of the chamber of the centrifugal classifier – 150 mm,
– height of the chamber of the centrifugal classifier – 280 mm,
– diameter of the convergent nozzle of feed air – 2 mm,
– external diameter of the classifier rotor – 60 mm,
– active length of the classifier rotor – 50 mm,
– number of rotor blades of the classifier – 32,
– dimensions of rectangular rotor blade – 50 × 6 mm.

3.3. Research methodology

Samples of quartz sand with a mass of 1 kg were used in the research into the classification process. A size class of 63-100 μm has a dominant participation in the particle size distribution of the fed material. The samples of the fed material were fed gravitationally from feed inlet 3 into
classifier column 4. Working air was put into the bottom part of the column by the system of four convergent nozzles from piston compressor 6, with a maximum compression ratio 1 MPa and maximum efficiency 71.4 m³/h. After compression, air was supplied into the equalizing tank and then underwent treatment in oil separator 12 and dehydrator 13. The overpressure and mass flow of the working air were measured by elastic pressure gauge 8 and electromagnetic flowmeter 14 manufactured by KOBOLD Instruments. The centrifugal classifier with a horizontal cylindrical rotor with radial blades was placed over column 4 of the classifier. The rotational speed of the rotor is regulated by a Hitachi inverter. Particles smaller than cut size entered cyclone 11 between the rotor blades. To generate negative pressure in the classifier chamber, winding device 9 – an industrial vacuum cleaner made by Portotecnica with a maximum suction 22 kPa and maximum air flow 510 m³/h – was applied. Continuous measurement of the negative pressure in the chamber was carried out. After every experiment, the distribution products were weighed using an electronic laboratory scales with an accuracy of 0.01 g. Then, representative material samples were collected from the classifier column \( m_2 \), cyclone \( m_3 \) and filter \( m_f \). The measurements of the particle size distributions of the collected samples of the fed material and classification products were carried out using IPS (Infrared Particle Sizer) electronic analyser of KAMIKA Instruments.

The pressure of the feeding factor was changed over the range of \( p = 100\text{-}600 \) kPa during the measurements. The rotational speed of the classifier rotor was equal to \( n = 0; 7.5; 15; 25 \) 1/s. During research unchangeable values were: mass of the fed material \( m_1 = 1 \) kg and time of classification process \( \tau = 3 \) min. Mass of the feed was selected to assure a proper run of fluidization, and time was short enough to assure that comminution of the particles of the tested material did not take place.

4. Determination of the cut size of the centrifugal classifier with fluidized bed

These methods based on the mass balance of the fed material and classification products (Leschonski 1984, Mizonov and Ushakov 1989) are the most often applied to determine the cut size. The mass balance is prepared separately for every size class on the basis of measurements of the mass and the particle size distribution of the feed and classification products, obtained as a result of the conducted experimental tests. These methods are not very accurate due to errors made in the determination of the particle size distribution – samples taken for analysis are not representative to a sufficient degree (which has a negative influence on the results of particle analyses). The analyses are burdened with considerable error, especially in the range of the finest particles. As a consequence of the above errors, the mass balances are not met for individual size classes, and there is further imprecise determination of the Tromp curve and cut size.

A following methodology was proposed for the adequate determination of the cut size during classification in a centrifugal flow classifier with fluidized bed. In this methodology the following are applied:

- equation (14) describing the particle size distribution of coarse product,
- Molerus’ equation for the two stages (16) and (17),
- the measurement results of the particle size distribution of fed material,
- experimentally determined mass fraction of the coarse product of two-stage classification.
The applied methodology consists of the following stages:

1. The dependence of the mass fraction of the coarse product $m_2/m_1$ in relation to size function of the cut size $x_{c1}$ of gravitational stage is computed on the basis of the particle size distribution of the fed material $F_1$ and equations (14, 16, 17). A sufficiently high cut size for the centrifugal classifier is assumed in computations which makes it possible to eliminate the influence of this stage on the computation result. The mass fraction $m_2/m_1$ is computed as the sum of the mass fractions $m_{2j}$ of the individual size classes. The results of computations are presented in Fig. 5.

2. The cut size of the gravitational stage $x_{c1}$ is determined from the diagram shown in Fig. 5 for the experimentally determined values of the mass fraction of the coarse product $m_2/m_1$ (Table 1). The values of quotient $m_2/m_1$ were obtained as a result of experiments carried out at zero rotational speed of the classifier rotor for all the pressure values of feeding air in the range of 100-600 kPa.

3. Further computations are carried out for two-stage classification using the determined values of the cut size $x_{c1}$. As in point 1, the dependence of the mass fraction of the coarse product $m_2/m_1$ in relation to the size function of the cut size $x_{c2}$ (for the same values of air pressure as in the point 2) is computed. The results of computations are presented in Figs. 6-11.

4. The cut size of centrifugal stage $x_{c2}$ is computed from the diagrams presented in Figs. 6-11 for the experimentally determined values $m_2/m_1$. The values of quotient $m_2/m_1$ were obtained as a result of experiments carried out at a rotational speed within the range 7.5-25 1/s and at the pressure values of feeding air within the range 100-600 kPa (Table 1).

Fig. 5. Mass fraction of the classification coarse product in relation to the cut size for the gravitational stage
Fig. 6. Mass fraction of the classification coarse product in relation to the cut size for two-stage classification at the pressure of feeding air $p = 100$ kPa

Fig. 7. Mass fraction of the classification coarse product in relation to the cut size for two-stage classification at the pressure of feeding air $p = 200$ kPa
Fig. 8. Mass fraction of the classification coarse product in relation to the cut size for two-stage classification at the pressure of feeding air $p = 300$ kPa

Fig. 9. Mass fraction of the classification coarse product in relation to the cut size for two-stage classification at the pressure of feeding air $p = 400$ kPa
Fig. 10. Mass fraction of the classification coarse product in relation to the cut size for two-stage classification at the pressure of feeding air $p = 500$ kPa

Fig. 11. Mass fraction of the classification coarse product in relation to the cut size for two-stage classification at the pressure of feeding air $p = 600$ kPa
The above presented methodology of cut size determination is based on the precise measurement of the total mass of fed material and coarse product in individual experimental tests. The results of particle analyses of classification products are not used in the computations, only knowledge of the particle size distribution of the feed is required. Due to this, the mass balance of fed material and classification products for individual size classes can be avoided. Any inaccuracy in the determination of the particle size distribution of feed has an insignificant influence on the cut size determination. The results of experimental research carried out in the centrifugal classifier with fluidization bed presented in chapter 3, are applied to computations. The determined values of the cut sizes for two classification stages and for different rotational speeds of the classifier rotor and different pressure values of feeding air are presented in Table 1. A cut size equal to 200 μm for the gravitational stage corresponds to the maximal size of the feed particles.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$n$, 1/s</th>
<th>$p$, kPa</th>
<th>100</th>
<th>200</th>
<th>300</th>
<th>400</th>
<th>500</th>
<th>600</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$m_2/m_1$</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$x_c$, μm</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$n = 0.1$</td>
<td>$m_2/m_1$</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$x_c$, μm</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$n = 7.5$</td>
<td>$m_2/m_1$</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.095</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$x_c$, μm</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$n = 15$</td>
<td>$m_2/m_1$</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$x_c$, μm</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The diagrams presented in Figs. 12 and 13 are plotted on the basis of computations of cut sizes. The changes in cut sizes in relation to rotational speeds of the classifier rotor are presented in Fig. 12, while in Fig. 13 – in relation to the pressure of the feeding air. A strong dependence between changes in the basic parameters of classification process and cut size results from the course of these functions. Greater influence of feeding factor on the cut size is present for the values above 300 kPa. This results from a noticeable increase in fluidization intensity in this range of pressures. Due to this, one can state that having a high-power fluidization layer is an important factor in the particle distribution in the tested classifier. At the same time it should be underlined that for the highest pressures the quantity of fine product is its lowest level because the classifier stops particles with sizes higher than cut sizes with lower efficiency. The higher values of rotational speeds of the classifier rotor should be applied to obtain a fine product of good quality at maximal pressure and at the highest energy-consuming process.

In the developed numerical algorithm the cut size is computed for I and II classification stage, which enables prediction of the particle size distribution of both classification products to be forecast on the basis of equations (13) and (15) after experimental identification of the parameters present in equations (16) and (17).
5. Summary

The proposed method of determining the cut size has an essential advantage over the methods known so far. Applied methods based on the mass balance are not precise, which makes reliable computation of the cut size impossible. The algorithm presented in the article, using Molerus’ equation, does not require knowledge of the particle size distribution of classification products.
This has an essential influence on the precise determination of the cut size. During computations only a knowledge of the particle size distribution of the fed material and mass of the feed and classification coarse product is required. The mass can be determined with high accuracy. On the basis of additional simulation computations it was stated that possible mistakes made during the determination of the particle size distribution of the feed have negligible influence on the computation of the cut size. In turn, reliable determination of the cut size has a direct influence on the prognosis result of the particle size distribution of the classification fine product. The presented model can be used to model the process of coal cleaning, where a classification is one of the most important stages (Benović et al., 2012). It should be noted that the waste, generated in the process of coal wet cleaning, can be used for combustion in the coal-water slurry (Kijo-Kleczkowska, 2012; Mochalov et al., 2012). The application of other equations of Tromp’s curve (Napier-Munn, 1991; Wang et al., 1999; Benzer, 2005, Kis et al., 2006; Mohanta & Mishra, 2009; Lanzerstorfer, 2011) and the consideration of particles dynamics (Brożek & Surowiak, 2010) can be considered in future research.
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